

The article should be sent according to the following guidelines:

- **Title:** The title of paper/article should be short and accurate.
- Authors/s Name and institutional affiliations only should be given with the title. Address, qualification, etc. may be provided at the end of the paper.
- **Introduction:** It should pertain to specific area of the study and should cover only relevant researches. It should not be a long review of the subject area and details of history.
- **Abstract:** Abstract of the paper should not be more than 200 words.
- **Methodology:** This may be descriptive, analytical and case study.
- **Results:** Provide relevant facts only. Data may be given preferably in the form of tables or occasionally in figure/text but do not repeat same data in more than one form. Do not include too many tables. Try to combine these wherever possible. Use Arabic numerals for the table and figure numbers, these should be carefully planned to fit the production size of printed page.
- **References:** For references at the end follow APA/ ILI/ BLUE BOOK Style.
- **Offprint:** An offprint of paper/article should be sent in MS-Word and in times new roman with font size 12 in double space and should be printed on one side of A4 paper.
- The paper/article should be E- mailed to **sljpalwal@gmail.com**
- **Declaration:** The author has to give a declaration that paper/ article has not been published elsewhere. The printer, publisher and the editorial board are not responsible for the authenticity of the paper/article. Fill the copy right form along with final paper. Only online copy of research journal will be sent to the author. For print copy cost is Rs.1000/-

SARASWATI LAW JOURNAL (SLJ)

Guidelines for Contributors

The Editorial Board of Saraswati Law Journal (SLJ) welcomes submission of unpublished original article, research papers, book reviews and case comments for its first forthcoming issue from members of the Bar, Bench and the academia. The guidelines for authors are as below:

General Guidelines:

- This is national annual Research Journal for law and allied subjects.
- The research article should be written according to research methodology.
- The research article should have bibliography, footnote, references, suggestions and findings.
- The cover page is to contain the title of the paper, author's name, designation, official address, contact number and email address. In case of multiple authors the cover page should indicate the author to whom correspondence should be addressed.
- The main text is not to contain the author(s) name or affiliation.
- Selection shall be done on the basis of Double Blind Reviews. Decision of Editorial Advisory Board and the Institute shall be final and binding.
- Only online copy of research journal will be sent to the author. For print copy cost is Rs.1000/-
- The article should be unpublished, original (not plagiarized) and free from grammatical, spelling and other errors.
- The title of your research article should be appropriate.
- All submissions and correspondence concerning the SLJ should be addressed to editorial at sljpalwal@gmail.com

Publication Ethics

To maintain the publication ethics we strongly believe in strictly following the set guidelines at four different levels to achieve transparency at our level.

1. AUTHORS : The authors should ensure that their work is entirely original work, and if the work of others have been used, this has been appropriately acknowledged at respective places. Plagiarism in all its forms is unacceptable. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical behavior which should be avoided. The corresponding author should ensure that there is a full consensus of all co-authors in approving the final version of the submitted research paper and its onward submission to journal for publication.

Responsibilities of Authors:

- Authors should present an accurate account of their data. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to the work. Fraudulent or inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior are unacceptable.
- The authors should ensure that they have submitted their original works, and if the authors have used the work of other's then that has been appropriately cited. Plagiarism in all its forms is totally unacceptable.
- An author should not publish manuscripts describing same research work in more than one journal. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal constitutes unethical behavior which is unacceptable.
- Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must be given. Authors should cite publications with written permission from the source. Information obtained in the course of confidential services, such as refereeing manuscripts or grant applications, must not be used without the written permission of the author of the work involved.

- Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be reported as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research should be acknowledged as contributors. The corresponding author should ensure that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.
- All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed. Potential conflicts of interest should be disclosed at the earliest stage possible.
- When an author notices inaccuracy in his own published work, it is the author's duty to promptly notify the editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.

2. EDITORS: An editor must not use unpublished information in the editor's own research without the written consent of the author. Editors should take reasonable responsive measures when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper.

DUTIES OF EDITORS:

The editor is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published. The editor may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. An editor should evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, citizenship, or political connections of the authors.

The editor must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, and the publisher.

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the consent of the author information obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. If needed, other appropriate action should be taken, such as the publication of a retraction or expression of concern. Items in sponsored supplements should be accepted solely on the basis of academic merit and interest to readers and not be influenced by commercial considerations.

An editor should take measures when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper, in conjunction with the publisher. Such measures will generally include contacting the author of the manuscript or paper and giving due consideration of the respective complaint or claims made, but may also include further communications to the relevant institutions and research bodies, and if the complaint is upheld, the publication of a correction, retraction, expression of concern, or other note, as may be relevant. Every reported act of unethical publishing must be looked into, even if it is discovered years after publication.

3. REVIEWERS: Reviews should be conducted objectively, and observations should be formulated clearly with supporting arguments, so that authors can use them for improving the paper. Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

DUTIES OF REVIEWERS:

Peer review process assists the editor in making their final decisions and through the editorial communications with the author also assists in improving the paper.

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process.

All the manuscripts received for peer review process must be treated as confidential.

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting things.

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in a reviewer's own research without the express written consent of the author. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

4. PUBLISHER: Plagiarism is considered to be a serious breach of ethics by the entire community. Incidents of plagiarism in a manuscript whether detected, will be dealt seriously. We constantly advise and take suggestions from our editorial Board/Reviewers for avoiding any malpractice of publication ethics. All Papers will be checked and rejected straight way if found corrupted/ Copied.